

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,579	03/11/2004	Eun-sung Lee	Q80074	4816
23373 SUGHDUE M	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1734	
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	02/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	(
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/797,579	LEE ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yewebdar T. Tadesse	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	, 000		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			ndonment of		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	B(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 6-7 and 9-13</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitation that the spin coating apparatus comprising a wafer raises new issue that would require further consideration. Additionally, the "inclusion of material or article worked upon does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 (USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)).